

Lesson 25. One-Way ANOVA – Confidence Intervals and Effect Sizes – Part 1

Note. Part 2 of this lesson shows how to do the calculations here in Part 1 using R.

1 Previously...

- One-way ANOVA model:

$$Y = \mu + \alpha_k + \varepsilon \quad \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$$

$$\mu_k = \mu + \alpha_k$$

- Parameter estimates:

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{y} \quad \hat{\alpha}_k = \bar{y}_k - \bar{y}$$

- One-way ANOVA F -test for K groups tests the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_K \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_A : \text{at least one of the } \mu_k \text{ is different}$$

- This helps us answer:

How strong is the evidence that the treatment has an effect on the response?

- But it does not help us answer:

If there is a difference due to treatment, how big is the effect?

2 Confidence intervals

- The $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ **confidence interval for a group mean** μ_k is

$$\bar{y}_k \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-K} \cdot SD \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_k}}$$

- To compute the confidence interval for the difference of two group means, we need to factor in the sample sizes of both groups
- The $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ **confidence interval for the difference of two group means** $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is

$$(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2) \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-K} \cdot SD \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}$$

- Note that two group means are significantly different at the $100(\alpha)\%$ level if and only if a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for their difference does not include 0

Example 1. Continuing with the FatRats example from Lessons 23 and 24...

Recall the setting: Thirty baby rats were fed high-protein diets with different sources of protein: beef, cereal, or pork. The rats were randomly assigned to one of these three diets; 10 rats got their protein from beef, 10 from cereal, and 10 from pork. Their weight gains were recorded.

The response variable is the weight gain in grams (*Gain*), and the explanatory variable is the type of protein (*Source*).

We found the following parameter estimates:

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{y} = 95.1333 \quad \hat{\alpha}_{\text{Beef}} = 4.8667 \quad \hat{\alpha}_{\text{Cereal}} = -9.2333 \quad \hat{\alpha}_{\text{Pork}} = 4.3667$$

The corresponding ANOVA table is below:

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
as.factor(Source)	2	1280	640.0	3.346	0.0503
Residuals	27	5165	191.3		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

- a. Based on the parameter estimates above, what are the values of \bar{y}_{Beef} , \bar{y}_{Cereal} , and \bar{y}_{Pork} ?

- b. Find the 95% confidence interval for the mean weight gain for the Beef group.

- c. Find the 95% confidence interval for the difference between the mean weight gains for the Beef and Cereal groups.

- d. Are the mean weight gains for the Beef and Cereal groups significantly different at the 0.05 level?

3 Effect sizes

- Remember: statistical significance \neq practical importance
- The **effect size** is one commonly used way to measure how much practical importance a numerical difference might make in real life
- For one-way ANOVA: the effect size is a ratio of a difference to the SD within all groups
- For a single group:

$$D_k = \frac{\hat{\alpha}_k}{SD} = \frac{\bar{y}_k - \bar{y}}{SD}$$

- For any pair of groups:

$$D_{jk} = \frac{\bar{y}_j - \bar{y}_k}{SD}$$

Example 2. Continuing with the FatRats example from Example 1...

- a. Estimate the effect of a beef-based protein diet for mean weight gain in rats.

- b. Compare the effect of a beef-based protein diet versus a cereal-based protein diet for mean weight gain in rats.